

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

MENTIONING

CP NO. 79(ND)/2016

CA NO.

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN

ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 26.05.2016


NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Raffles Education investment (India) Pte Ltd.**


Vs.


M/s. Educomp-Raffles Higher Education Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398 rw 402 & 403 of Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
-------	------	-------------	----------------	-----------

- | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---|
| 1. | Mr. U.K. Chaudhary, Sr. Adv. | Sr. Adv. | For.
Petitioner |  |
| 2. | Mr. Abhinav Varisth, Sr. Adv. | Sr. Adv. | | |
| 3. | Mrs. Anum Kathpalia, Sr. Adv. | Sr. Adv. | | |
| 4. | Mr. Prashant Mishra, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| 5. | Mr. Anshul Jain, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| 6. | Mr. Piyush Prasad, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| 7. | Ms. Jomol Joy, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| 8. | Mr. Himanshu Vij, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| 9. | Ms. Manisha Chaudhary, Adv. | Adv. | | |

- | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---|
| (1) | Mr. Gopal Jain, Sr. Adv. | Sr. Adv. | For Respondent no
4 & 10 |  |
| (2) | Mr. Nitaj Kumar, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| (3) | Mr. Ashut Singh Gyani, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| (4) | Mr. Vikram Shah, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| (5) | Mr. Shekhar | | | |

- | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---|
| (1) | Mr. Suhail Dutt, Sr. Adv. | Sr. Adv. | For Respondent no.
2 & 3 |  |
| (2) | Mr. Nitaj Kumar, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| (3) | Mr. Ashut Singh Gyani, Adv. | Adv. | | |
| (4) | Mr. Vikram Shah | | | |


P.T.O.

ORDER

Petition mentioned.

I have heard ld. counsel for the Petitioner at length. Likewise I have heard ld. counsel for Respondent No. 3 as well as ld. counsel for Respondent No.4.

After hearing each one of them I feel that filing of reply in such a contested matter would be imperative. Therefore, ld. counsel for Respondent No.3 has stated that reply shall be filed on or before 2nd June 2016 with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner. Reply may also be filed by Respondent No.4 or any other respondent with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner. At this stage, no rejoinder would be permitted as the matter for interim relief shall be considered on 03.06.2016 at 10.30 AM.


[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN

Date: 26.05.2016
[ravi]